

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

_____)	
ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. 98-2055 DWF/SRN
)	
v.)	
)	
THOMAS & BETTS CORPORATION,)	
a Delaware corporation,)	
)	
and)	
)	
AUGAT COMMUNICATIONS)	
PRODUCTS INC, a Washington)	
corporation,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

**FINAL JUDGMENT RESOLVING COUNTS II & III OF THE ADC
COMPLAINT AND DEFENDANTS' SECOND & THIRD COUNTERCLAIMS**

This is a patent case in which Plaintiff ADC Telecommunications, Inc. (ADC) asserts three separate counts for patent infringement against Defendants. In ADC's Complaint, Count I relates to U.S. Patent No. Re. 34,955 including reexamination certificate B1 Re 34,955 (the '955 patent); Count II relates to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,220,600 (the '600 patent); and Count III relates to U.S. Patent No. 4,749,968 (the '968 patent). Defendants have asserted three counterclaims corresponding to the three counts in the Complaint.

The Court previously entered final judgment on Count I of the Complaint (as well as Defendants' corresponding First Counterclaim).

FEB 20 2002
FILED
RICHARD D. SLETTEN, CLERK
JUDGMENT ENTERED **FEB 20 2002**
DEPUTY CLERK


The parties have reached a settlement that resolves the remaining Counts II and III of the Complaint concerning infringement of the '600 and '968 patents, as well as Defendants' corresponding Second and Third Counterclaims (the "Settlement Agreement").

Based on the parties' settlement of ADC's Counts II and III and Defendants' corresponding counterclaims concerning the '600 and '968 patents, the Court enters the following final judgment:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties.
2. ADC is the owner of the entire right, title and interest of the '600 and '968 patents.
3. The asserted claims of the '600 and '968 patents are valid and enforceable for all past, present and future products of Defendants.
4. ADC's Counts II and III are dismissed with prejudice.
5. Defendants' Second & Third Counterclaims concerning the '600 and '968 patents are dismissed with prejudice.
6. This final judgment shall be *res judicata* as to the validity and enforceability of the '600 and '968 patents for all past, present, and future products of Defendants.
7. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees.
8. As agreed by the parties, this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter and the parties for the purposes of enforcing the parties' Settlement Agreement, the terms of which are incorporated herein by reference.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY

February 20, 2002
Dated


DONOVAN W. FRANK
Judge of United States District

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THOMAS & BETTS CORPORATION,
a Delaware corporation,

and

AUGAT COMMUNICATIONS
PRODUCTS INC, a Washington
corporation,

Defendants.


Civil Action No. 98-2055 DWF/SRN

**STIPULATION FOR ENTRY
OF FINAL JUDGMENT RESOLVING COUNTS II & III OF THE
ADC COMPLAINT AND DEFENDANTS' SECOND & THIRD COUNTERCLAIMS**

The parties stipulate, and jointly request, that the Court enter the attached final judgment which resolves all remaining claims and counterclaims in this action.

ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By its attorneys,


Alan G. Carlson (# 14,801)
Philip P. Caspers (# 192,569)
Timothy A. Lindquist (# 245,318)
Merchant & Gould
3200 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2215
Phone: (612) 332-5300
Facsimile (612) 332-9081

THOMAS & BETTS CORPORATION; and
AUGAT COMMUNICATIONS
PRODUCTS INC.

By their attorneys



Robert L. Green, Jr.
William C. Rooklidge
Alan M. Fisch
Jason F. Hoffman
Howrey Simon Arnold & White, LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 783-0800
Facsimile: (202) 383-6610

Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement

FEB 20 2002
FILED
RICHARD D. SLETEN, CLERK
JUDGMENT ENTD.
DEPUTY CLERK